UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,230	01/30/2001	Rollie M. Fisher	199-1905 (VGT 0168 PUS)	1123

7590	10/21/2004	

Angela M. Brunetti
Artz & Artz, PC
28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250
Southfield, MI 48034

EXAMINER
HUYNH, KIM T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2112	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/774,230	FISHER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Kim T. Huynh	2112

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _04 August 2004_.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
   closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) _1-9_ is/are pending in the application.

   4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) _1-9_ is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _30 January 2001_ is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

   a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

   1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

   2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

   3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
      application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

   * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
   Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .   6) ☐ Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
> forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
> the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
> invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2.   Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Nelson et al. (US Patent 6,138,185) in view of Wang et al. (Pub No US20010025332)

As per claim 1, Nelson discloses a controller for microprocessor input/output to at

least one external device, said controller comprising:

- control logic; (col.5, lines 5-15)

- an input/output (I/O) crossover-switching network having a plurality of

  parallel pins; (col.7, lines 25-31)

- at least one serial I/O shifter in communication with said I/O crossover-

  switching network, said at least one serial I/O shifter having at least one

  channel; (col.3, line 37-col.4, lines 30)

- a clock signal for clocking a transfer of serial data from said controller to

  the external device; (col.5, lines 42-54), (col.2, lines 35-44), (col.4, lines 3-

  61)

- a latch signal for delimiting boundaries of transferred serial data; (col.4,

  lines 45-61)

- primary and secondary I/O signal pathways controlled by said I/O crossover-switching network for selecting I/O signals and usage of said plurality of parallel pins for communication with and control of the external device; and (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 44)

- wherein for said at least one channel, a first signal pathway is selectable between at least said primary signal pathway and said secondary signal pathway for connection to said at least one serial I/O shifter. (col.2, lines 25-44), (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 61)

Nelson discloses all the limitations as above except clock signal for synchronizing the operation of said at least one serial I/O shifter. However, Wang discloses the clock circuitry for a parallel channel is shared by all of the data signals. The clock circuitry reduces the amount of power and physical space that is required to provide synchronized clocking. [0013] To provide a synchronized clock, communication processing circuitry and crossbar integrated circuits share clock information over parallel channels An individual channel transfers communications in multiple parallel bit streams. The parallel channel transfers a clock signal in a separate bit stream that is parallel to the bit streams for the communications. [0029] Each queue chip is coupled to 8 parallel channels. The queues are managed by adaptive dynamic threshold algorithms for better adaptation to different traffic patterns. The ingress scheduler uniformly transfer packet

from the virtual output queues over the parallel channels to the crossbar

chips. [0043]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art

at the time the invention was made to incorporate the Wang's teaching

into Nelson's system so as to provide switching capability within

communication devices. [0003]

As per claim 2, Nelson discloses the controller further comprising:

- optional signal pathways controlled by said I/O crossover-switching

    network for selecting I/O signals and usage of said plurality of parallel pins

    for communication with and control of the external device; and (col.3, line

    37-col.4, line 61), (col.2, lines 25-44)

- wherein for said at least one channel, a second signal pathway is

    selectable between at least said primary signal pathway and said optional

    signal pathway for connection to a pin on the external device, said primary

    signal pathway being available to either said first signal pathway or said

    second signal pathway. (col.3, line 37-col.4, line 61)

As per claim 3, Nelson discloses the controller further comprising an external

source for said clock and latch signals. (col.2, lines 52-54)

As per claims 4,9, Nelson discloses the controller wherein said serial I/O shifter

further comprises a high-speed serial shifter wherein serial data is transferred out

of said shifter on one edge of said clock signal and transferred to said external

device on the following edge of said clock signal. (col.4, lines 1-17)

As per claim 5, Nelson discloses a method for serializing parallel data comprising the steps of:

- sampling selected parallel I/O signals with a serial I/O shifter; (col.4, lines 1-30)

- selecting a signal path from a primary, secondary or optional signal path; (col.3, lines 37-61), (col.5, lines 17-28)

- serially transferring bits of the data stream from an I/O multiplexer to an external device at the rate of one bit per cycle of a clock signal; (col.4, lines 3-61), (col.9, lines 7-10)

- asserting a latch signal for enabling an external device; and (col.8, lines 58-67)

- outputting data as parallel I/O signals. (col.9, lines 11-19)

As per claim 6, Nelson discloses wherein said step of serially transferring bits of the data stream further comprises serially transferring bits at a rate of one bit per cycle of a clock signal. (col.4, lines 45-61)

As per claim 7, Nelson discloses wherein said step of sampling selected parallel I/O signals further comprises sampling selected parallel I/O signals on the leading edge of a latch signal to create a bit data stream. (col.4, lines 1-17)

As per claim 8, Nelson discloses wherein said step of outputting data further comprises setting a resolution of the parallel I/O signals using a frequency of the latch signal.

Response to Amendment

3.   Applicant's amendment filed on 8/4/04 have been fully considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

         a. In response to applicant's argument that Nelson does not disclose

synchronizing the serial I/O shifter using the clock signal. However, Wang discloses the

clock circuitry for a parallel channel is shared by all of the data signals. The clock

circuitry reduces the amount of power and physical space that is required to provide

synchronized clocking. [0013] To provide a synchronized clock, communication

processing circuitry and crossbar integrated circuits share clock information over

parallel channels An individual channel transfers communications in multiple parallel bit

streams. The parallel channel transfers a clock signal in a separate bit stream that is

parallel to the bit streams for the communications. [0029] Each queue chip is coupled to

8 parallel channels. The queues are managed by adaptive dynamic threshold

algorithms for better adaptation to different traffic patterns. The ingress scheduler

uniformly transfer packet from the virtual output queues over the parallel channels to the

crossbar chips. [0043]

         Thus, the prior art teaches the invention as claimed and the claims do not

distinguish over the prior art as applied.

Conclusion

4.   *Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should*

be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (571)272-3635 or via e-mail addressed to

[kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9.00AM- 6:00PM.

   If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark

Rinehart can be reached on (571)272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The

fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-

9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

   Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

Kim Huynh

Oct. 19, 2004